

Study Group **WAGON USERS**

Proposed amendments to GCU Appendices 9: No. 7

Record of amendments

Amended by	Date	Paragraph	Amendment
Claude Weis	11.03.15		Entry following to the minutes of WG TI held on 02/2015 in Paris
Jean-Marc Blondé	19.05.15		Modif. following to the WG TI held on 05/2015 in Paris
Decision of WG TI	19.05.15		Following to the minutes of WG TI held on 05/2015

Title:	Change of point 4 of Check list: expired period and point 3 of Checklist: irregularities in operations in Appendix 9
Proposed amendment made by: RU / keeper / other body	CLF Cargo
Proposed amendment concerns:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> annexe 9 <input type="checkbox"/> annexe 11
Proposer:	Claude Weis, CFL CARGO
Location, date:	Dudelange, 04.04.2015
Concise description:	Point 4 of Check list: expired period and point 3 of Checklist: irregularities in operations, one-piece wheel are not dealt

1. Starting-point (current situation):

1.1. Introduction
Point 4 of Check list: expired period and point 3 of Checklist: irregularities in operations, it is spoken about tyred wheel but not one piece wheel
1.2. Mode of operation
-
1.3. Anomaly / description of problem
When the checklist of a wagon with one piece wheel is done, there is no reference to check the thickness of this type of wheels

Study Group **WAGON USERS**

1.4. Does this concern a recognised code of practice* (e.g. DIN, EN)?

No Yes (state which):

* "Code of practice: a written set of rules that, when correctly applied, can be used to control one or more specific hazards." (source: Regulation EC 352/2009, Article 3)

"Technical provisions laid down in writing or conveyed verbally and pertaining to procedures, equipment and modes of operation which are generally agreed by the populations concerned (specialists, users, consumer and public authorities) to be suitable for achieving the objective prescribed by law, and which have either proven their worth in practice or, it is generally agreed, are likely to within a reasonable period of time" (translation/source: BMJ Handbuch der Rechtsförmlichkeit – German Ministry of Justice)

2. Target situation

2.1. Elimination of anomaly/problem (goal)

Point 4 of Check list: expired period and point 3 of Checklist: irregularities in operations, minimal requirements of one piece wheels must be added

3. Additional text (relates only to proposed amendments to GCU Appendix 9):

Inspection of fitness to run for wagons with an expired maintenance plate

4.1	Does the wheel tyre thickness conform to the criteria of point 1.1.1 of Annex 1?	Yes No	5 12.2	To measure
4.2	Does groove marking the minimum thickness for one piece wheels conform to the criteria of point 1.2.1 of Annex 1?	Yes No	5 12.2	

Inspection of fitness to run in the event of irregularities in operations

3.1	Does the wheel tyre thickness conform to the criteria of point 1.1.1 of Annex 1?	Yes No	4 11.2	To measure
3.2	Does groove marking the minimum thickness for one piece wheels conform to the criteria of point 1.2.1 of Annex 1?	Yes No	4 11.2	

Study Group **WAGON USERS**

4. Reason:

All types of axles for checking are indicated when checklists are established

5. Assess potential positive/negative impacts

E.g. on operations, costs, administration, interoperability, safety, competitiveness, etc., using a scale of 1 (very low) to 5 (very high). Justify observations

Positive impacts:

Operations, Interoperability, Safety, competitiveness:(Value:3)

With this type of changes, all types of wheels are dealt

Security:(Value 4)

With this adaptation, it is ensured that each type of axles is studied conform to Annex 1

Study Group **WAGON USERS**

6. Safety appraisal of proposed amendment

Description of actual/target system, and scope of change to be made (see points 1 and 2).

Safety appraisal done by : cancelled because adaptation is done upon basis of mentioned standards

6.1. Does the change made impact on safety?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Reason: The German version of Appendix 9, at point 2.3, in particular concerning "the knowledge dispensed through training in a mechanical or electrical trade", does not interpret in the same way as the French text of Appendix 9.	
6.2. Is the change significant?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Reason: see template. Attach the "significant change?" test template	
6.3. Determining and classifying risk:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> deleted
6.3.1. Effect of change in normal operation: 6.3.2. Effect of change in the event of disruption / deviation from normal operation: 6.3.3. Potential misuse of system: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (describe possible misuse):	
6.4. Have safety measures been applied?	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
<i>For each type of risk, one of the following risk acceptance criteria is to be selected:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code of practice • Use of reference system • Explicit risk estimate 	
6.5. Has a risk analysis been submitted to the assessment body?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes
Assessment body: Attach the verdict reached by the assessment body:	[appendix]

